Deputy Chief, Travel Section  25X1A9a  Home Icave -  25X1A9a  Attached is travel voucher for for PCS and Home Ica	
25X1A9a  25X1A9a  25X1A9a	eave
25X1A9a	eave
1. Attended to trues I servel as a servel	eave
Cravel Iron	
25X1A9a	
2. was authorized home leave in Reno, Nevada by amendment of Travel Order EE 199/56.	# 2
25X1A9a  3. It is noted that traveled to Los Angeles, California for his home leave, and on a comparative cost is claiming reimbursement to Reno Mevada and return to Washington, D.C. has stated on the voucher the person he was to visit in Reno was no longer a resident of that city where the departed for home leave and that it was too late for him to change his him to change his him to change	o, the⊉5X1A9a
leave city to Los Angeles, where his brother-in-law now resides.	
4. The question to be resolved is: Can claim, on a comparative cost basis, reimbursement to his authorized home leave point, R	25X1A9a
Mevada, when actually he traveled to Los Angeles, California for his home 1	seno, Leave.
25X1A9a	
Deputy Chief, Travel Section	
EAR/jap	
ward 1stb	
Enclosures:	
1 - Travel voucher with attachments	
3 - Travel orders/amendments	
1 - Residence and Dependency Report	
Note: The itinerary shows that actually travelled to Reno 250 although he went on to Los Angeles subsequently. No legal objection to payment of the trip to Reno and return.	5X1A9a
057440	
25X1A9a /s/ 2/9/56	